

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

 μ

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,990	07/07/2003	Kenji IIda	010194A	1625
23850 7	590 09/13/2005	•	EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW			NORRIS, JEREMY C	
SUITE 1000	,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2841	

DATE MAILED: 09/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/612,990	IIDA, KENJI
Examiner	Art Unit
Jeremy C. Norris	2841

·	Jeremy C. Norris	2841	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 16 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the followance; (2) a New (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	of Appeal. To avoid ab offidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
NOTICE OF APPEAL	-1:	60 1 201 1	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be sent filed. 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	,		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amenament	(I TOL-024).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	· ——	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a N	Votice of Appeal will r	ot be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. \square The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing			
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).	EO
	Sl	JPERVISORY PATENT	EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Upon appeal, Applicant's proposed After Final Amendment will be entered. However, in such an instance, claim 1 would be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6,465,742 (Hiraoka). Hiraoka discloses, referring to figure 4A, a multilayer circuit board comprising: a plurality of cable layers (6a) each of which includes electric conductive sections (7); a plurality of first insulating layers (8), each of which encloses said electric conductive sections in each cable layer and fills spaces between said electric conductive sections and post vias (10) electrically connecting said electric conductive sections in one cable layer to those in another cable layer, wherein height of said electric conductive sections in each cable layer are regulated to be equal to that of said first insulating layer enclosing those electric conductive sections (see col. 21, lines 50-68); and a second insulating layer (8) which is formed to enclose said post vias, wherein height of said post vias are regulated to be equal to that of said second insulating layer (see col. 21, lines 50-68) [claim 1].

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 16 August 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant alleges "in Hiraoka, cable patterns and post vias are formed in the same insulating layer. However, the applicant's novel and <u>non-obvious</u> claimed structural arrangement in their claimed invention (i.e., the cable patterns or the electric conductive sections and the post vias being formed in different insulating layers) is <u>not</u> disclosed and suggested in the cited reference". However, it is clear from figure

Art Unit: 2841

4A of Hiraoka that layers 6a only contain electric conductive sections 7 and layers 9 only contain post vias 10. Thus, Hiraoka does indeed disclose the electric conductive section and the post vias being formed in different insulating layers. Thus Applicant's traversal of the rejection on this ground is deemed unsuccessful.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is 571-272-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on 571-272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JCSN